Short tandem repeat (STR) DNA markers are hypervariable and informative in Cannabis sativa: implications for forensic investigations
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Abstract

Short tandem repeat (STR) markers are the DNA marker of choice in forensic analysis of human DNA. Here we extend the application of STR markers to Cannabis sativa and demonstrate their potential for forensic investigations.

Ninety-three individual cannabis plants, representing drug and fibre accessions of widespread origin were profiled with five STR makers. A total of 79 alleles were detected across the five loci. All but four individuals from a single drug-type accession had a unique multilocus genotype. An analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) revealed significant genetic variation among accessions, with an average of 25\% genetic differentiation. By contrast, only 6\% genetic difference was detected between drug and fibre crop accessions and it was not possible to unequivocally assign plants as either drug or fibre type. However, our results suggest that drug strains may typically possess lower genetic diversity than fibre strains, which may ultimately provide a means of genetic delineation.

Our findings demonstrate the promise of cannabis STR markers to provide information on: (1) agronomic type, (2) the geographical origin of drug seizures, and (3) evidence of conspiracy in production of clonally propagated drug crops.
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1. Introduction

Cannabis sativa L. is thought to have originated in the central Asia region \[1\], and has since been distributed worldwide by humans who have cultivated the plant as a source of fibre, feed, oils, medicines, and intoxicants for thousands of years \[1,2\]. Leaves and inflorescences (but not seeds) contain psychoactive drugs principally the cannibinoid $\Delta^8$-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) \[1,3\]. The presence of cannibinoids is the reason cannabis is widely used as a recreational drug (marijuana) in many parts of the world.

Despite the wide range of possible uses for cannabis, the cultivation and possession of the plant is prohibited by law in many countries due to its intoxicant properties. The illegal status of the plant has generated a significant black-market for the drug, ranging from small-scale local production and distribution through to large-scale international operations run by organised crime syndicates. However, in some jurisdictions licensing arrangements are available for cultivation of fibre and seed oil \[3\]. Presently, there is growing interest in cannabis as a useful multipurpose crop that offers economic and environmental benefits over traditional fibre crops \[4,5\].

From a law enforcement perspective, the full scale agriculture of cannabis for fibre and seed oil poses a security problem, with the possibility of licensed crops being used as a cover for illegal drug crops. There is also the possibility of contamination of fibre crops with pollen...
of drug varieties, and the potential for theft and subsequent fraudulent distribution of agricultural types as drug types [6,7]. From an agricultural perspective, the inability to readily distinguish between fibre and drug cannabis varieties poses a major impediment to further development of the crop.

We have begun a long-term project to develop diagnostic DNA profiling methods for discriminating among varieties, populations and individuals of *C. sativa*. DNA-based methods offer the potential to aid the forensic community by enabling: (i) identification of botanical samples as *Cannabis*, (ii) identification of *Cannabis* samples as drug or fibre varieties and (iii) determination of the provenance of a seized *Cannabis* drug samples. Collectively, these methods thus promise powerful new investigative tools for intelligence analysis of organised and commercially motivated criminal activity.

Some progress has already been made towards species-specific DNA-based tests for *Cannabis* [8–12]. All of these techniques are applicable to trace evidence samples frequently encountered in forensics, but none provide individual or population level information. Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers have been applied to *C. sativa* [13–15] and other plants [16,17], and have been shown to discriminate among samples of different provenance and strain. However, these markers suffer from problems with specificity and reproducibility, and significantly, Jagadish et al. (unpublished) showed different profiles were obtained from RAPD analysis of different parts of cannabis plants (leaf tissue versus roots).

Short tandem repeats (STRs), also called simple sequence repeats (SSRs) and microsatellites, consist of tandemly repeated units of short nucleotide motifs, 1–6 bp long. These regions occur frequently and randomly throughout the genomes of plants and animals, and typically show extensive variation [18]. STRs are widely considered the genetic marker of choice for studies within species and have been applied in a wide range of studies of wild and cultivated plants [19–22], wild and domestic animals [23–25], and human populations [26–28].

STRs are now the standard forensic tool for the investigation of human-source forensic samples [29–33] and are applicable to trace evidence samples. Recently, there has also been an increase in the forensic application of STRs to cases involving non-human DNA, for example, to identify domestic canines [34] and felines [35]. The study we describe here extends the potential forensic applications of STRs to plant DNA. Other studies confirm that STRs in plants are highly variable and informative amongst individuals and populations [19,20] and are therefore, good candidates for forensic analysis.

The aims of this study were to: (i) develop a set of informative STR genetic marker assays in *C. sativa*, (ii) to test the utility of a subset of five STR genetic markers for their ability to discriminate among individuals and varieties of *C. sativa*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample selection

*Cannabis* and one other genus, *Humulus* (hops), are currently placed in the family Cannabaceae. However, recent molecular cladistic analysis suggest that Cannabaceae should be placed in the family Celtidaceae, which is a sister family to the Moraceae [36,37]. Although several synonyms have been published (e.g. *C. indica* Lam., *C. ruderalis* Jan), the genus *Cannabis* is considered to comprise a single highly variable species [1,3]. Because of the long association of *Cannabis* with humans, no wild progenitor is known, and in any case there has probably been widespread introgression and hybridisation between wild and cultivated strains [1].

Our intention in this study was to sample as broadly as possible across *C. sativa*. A total of 93 samples of wide geographic origin were therefore selected, representing six fibre crop varieties and nine drug crop varieties (Table 1). DNA was extracted from seedlings germinated from seed accessions held by the Australian Federal Police, at the Forensic Services Division, Canberra, Australia. These seed accessions were obtained for the study of Jagadish et al. [14] from the Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproductive Research (CPRO), The Netherlands, (see [38]). We have retained the accession numbers of these seed-lines in our study, but for simplicity refer to them by the abbreviated codes shown in Table 1.

2.2. DNA extractions

All DNA extractions were performed by a modification of the CTAB method of Doyle and Doyle [39]. Approximately, 100 mg of liquid nitrogen ground tissue (whole seedlings, or inflorescence in the case of 037929) was incubated in 500 μl of CTAB extraction buffer at 65°C. Following incubation the mixture was twice extracted with an equal volume of chloroform, and crude nucleic acids precipitated with the addition of 0.8 volumes of isopropanol.

Crude nucleic acids were recovered by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, before redissolving in 20 μg/ml of RNase A with 1× reaction buffer (USB), with incubation at room temperature for 30 min to remove contaminating RNA.

The DNA was further purified by precipitating from solution using an equal volume of 13% polyethylene glycol (PEG-8000), 1.6 M NaCl [40]. The purified nucleic acid was recovered by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, and the dried pellet was redissolved in 200 μl TE (Tris 100 mM, EDTA 1 mM pH 8.0).

Following extraction with one volume of buffered phenol: chloroform (1:1, pH 8.0) the aqueous fraction was recovered and the DNA precipitated by addition of 25 μl 3 M sodium acetate and 450 μl of 100% ethanol. DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 10 min, then dissolved in 50 μl TE. Yield was quantified crudely by comparison with
Data of known concentration by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing 0.5 mg/ml of ethidium bromide. Electrophoresis products were visualised on an UV-transilluminator, and the DNA was diluted to approximately, 10 ng/μl for use.

2.3. Development of STR genetic markers

A genomic library was constructed using DNA from a single C. sativa sample held in the GAUBA herbarium at the Australian National University (accession number 037929), and screened with a probe cocktail comprising oligonucleotides of di-, tri-, and tetranucleotide repeats [41]. Positive clones were identified and the plasmid DNA sequenced using the ABI PRISM DyeDeoxy Terminator kit. Sequence products were run on an ABI 377 automated sequencer and electropherograms were edited with the assistance of Sequencher sequence editing software (Genecodes).

Primers were designed for sequences that contained microsatellites greater than 10 repeats in length, and possessed sufficient flanking region to allow forward and reverse primers to be designed. Primer design was assisted by the software package PRIMER version 0.5 (Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Science).

The designed primers were screened for product-size variability and consistent amplification across the range of samples. Five primer pairs were selected for this initial study (Table 2). Primer sequences for these and additional loci are published elsewhere [42].

Table 1
Summary of the accession numbers, accession code, cultivar status and country of origin for the samples genotyped in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession Code</th>
<th>Accession Code</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Country of origin</th>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>883041 F41</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Fibrimon-56</td>
<td>Fibre cultivar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883042 F42</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Former East Germany</td>
<td>Fibrimon</td>
<td>Fibre cultivar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883043 F43</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>Fibrimon</td>
<td>Fibre cultivar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>883291 F91</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Former Soviet Union</td>
<td>SOU</td>
<td>Fibre cultivar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921122 F22</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Fibre, unknown cultivar status</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921207 F07</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Landrace fibre crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921232 D32</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921236 D36</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891192 D92</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Wild, assumed to be drug-type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891197 D97</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>991239 D39</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>891196 D96</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>910972 D72</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921234 D34</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
<td>Drug crop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>921240 W40</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Wild, assumed to be fibre type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information sourced from the Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproductive Research (CPRO), The Netherlands (see [36]).

Table 2
Summary of STR locus characteristics including repeat motif, allelic size ranges, number of observed, potential and missing alleles and expected heterozygosity (He)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STR locus</th>
<th>ANUCS201</th>
<th>ANUCS301</th>
<th>ANUCS302</th>
<th>ANUCS303</th>
<th>ANUCS202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STR motif</td>
<td>(GA)_{26}</td>
<td>(TTA)_{15}</td>
<td>(CAA)<em>{11}, - , (CAA)</em>{4}</td>
<td>(TGG)_{7}</td>
<td>(GA)_{20}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat unit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of sequenced STR</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min allele size (bp)</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max allele size (bp)</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred min STR</td>
<td>(GA)_{10}</td>
<td>(TTA)_{7}</td>
<td>(CAA)_{9}</td>
<td>(TGG)_{3}</td>
<td>(GA)_{12}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferred max STR</td>
<td>(GA)_{36}</td>
<td>(TTA)_{24}</td>
<td>(CAA)_{20}</td>
<td>(TGG)_{10}</td>
<td>(GA)_{32}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of observed alleles</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of potential alleles</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of missing alleles</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>0.758</td>
<td>0.659</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All estimates are based on the total data set of 93 samples. Number of potential alleles were inferred by counting the number of repeat units between the smallest and largest observed alleles. The number of missing alleles were inferred by subtracting the number of observed alleles from the total number of potential alleles within the observed allele range.
2.4. PCR amplification and scoring of microsatellite loci

Approximately, 10 ng of template DNA extracted from a *C. sativa* seedling was used in a 10 μl PCR containing 1 × PCR buffer with MgCl₂ (Perkin-Elmer), 250 μM dNTPs, 0.2 μM each of forward and reverse primer, 0.04 μl fluorescently labelled dUTP (either 400 μM R110 or 100 μM TAMRA; ABI Prism) and 0.75 units of TaqGold DNA Polymerase (Perkin-Elmer).

The reaction profile comprised a 10 min incubation at 90 °C to activate the TaqGold then a cycle of 95 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 60 s, repeated 30 times. Following cycling, the reaction was held at 72 °C for 5 min, before a final 4 °C holding temperature.

Alternatively, a ‘touchdown’ cycle was employed, comprising a 10 min incubation at 90 °C to activate the TaqGold, then a cycle of 95 °C for 15 s, 65 °C for 15 s and 72 °C for 60 s. The cycle was repeated 35 times with the annealing temperature dropping 1 °C after each of the first 15 cycles, whereafter it was held at 50 °C. Following cycling, the reaction was held at 72 °C for 5 min, before a final 4 °C holding temperature.

Amplification products were precipitated by first adjusting the volume to 100 μl with MilliQ purified water, addition of 15 μl of 3 M sodium acetate and 300 μl of 100% ethanol, before immediately centrifuging for 30 min at 12,000 × g. The pellets were washed in 70% ethanol, then dried and resuspended in 6 μl of MilliQ purified water.

For loading on the ABI 377 DNA sequencer, 0.5 μl of resuspended PCR product was mixed with 0.6 μl of deionised formamide, 0.2 μl of Genescan-500 ROX size-marker (ABI) and 0.15 μl of loading dye, supplied with the ROX.

Fig. 1. Typical STR DNA profiles at the locus ANUCS302 showing homozygous and heterozygous individuals from five different accessions.
size-marker. Approximately, 0.8 μl of this mixture was electrophoresed in a 5% polyacrylamide gel on the ABI 377 for 3 h.

2.5. Data scoring and statistical analysis

Following electrophoresis, GeneScan™ and Genotyper™ software (PE Applied Biosystems) were used to aid the scoring of the STR profiles. Homozygous and heterozygous genotypes were inferred from the banding patterns. Standard population genetic estimates of allele frequency, number of alleles, and observed and expected heterozygosity were calculated directly from these genotypes using our own software GenAlEx [43].

Genetic differentiation among accessions, as estimated by Fst, was calculated using the analysis of molecular variance framework developed by Excoffier et al. for haplotype data [44] and subsequently extended to codominant genotypic data [45,46] using the software GenAlEx V5 [43] and Arlequin V2.0 [47]. Test of significance were performed by random permutation with all permutational tests performed 1000 times.

3. Results

3.1. Total genetic diversity

A total of 93 samples of C. sativa, representing both fibre and drug crops, were successfully genotyped in this study (Table 1). In all cases, the STR profiles were typical of codominant markers with at most two different alleles per locus, and with homozygous and heterozygous individuals clearly identifiable (Fig. 1). Six accessions with 8–12 samples each represented fibre crops. Five additional accessions with 3–8 samples each represented drug crops. Four additional drug accessions represented only by a single sample were included in estimates of total genetic diversity, but excluded from population level analyses that required multiple samples per population.

Of the 93 samples genotyped, all but four individuals had unique multilocus genotypes. The exception occurred in the drug accession D39 for which all four samples shared the same homozygous multilocus genotype at five loci. A total of 79 alleles were detected in the full data set, with the number of alleles per locus varying from six alleles at locus ANUCS303 to 29 alleles at ANUCS201 (Table 2). The mean total genetic diversity was 0.83 ± 0.05 (mean ± S.E.), with a range of 0.66–0.92 per locus (Table 2).

3.2. Locus by locus patterns of allelic diversity

Table 2 shows the repeat motif of each locus, the observed allele size range in base pairs (bp) and the inferred repeat sequence for the smallest and largest alleles detected, assuming allele size varies simply by addition or deletion of repeat motifs as typical for STR loci. This latter assumption is supported by the data. For all five loci the observed size of the STR alleles varied in multiples of their expected repeat units. For example, the most diverse locus, ANUCS201 was a dinucleotide (GA)n repeat with 29 alleles varying in size in multiples of 2 bp units from 155 to 227 bp. The allele frequency distribution for this locus is shown in Fig. 2. Note that within the large 72 bp allelic size range spanned by this locus, all but eight of the potential alleles were detected in our sample set (Fig. 2, Table 2). The three trinucleotide loci (ANUCS301, ANUCS302 and ANUCS303), although revealing fewer alleles per locus

Fig. 2. Allele frequency distributions for the STR locus ANUCS201, a dinucleotide repeat (GA)n and ANUCS301, a trinucleotide repeat (TTA)n for the total set of 93 samples.
than the two dinucleotide loci, nonetheless revealed allelic patterns consistent with that expected for trinucleotide repeats. At ANUCS301, for example, alleles varied in size by multiples of 3 units from 209 to 261 bp. Within this 52 bp range, all but two of the expected alleles were observed within the range of allelic sizes observed (Fig. 2, Table 2).

3.3. Patterns of genetic diversity within accessions

For illustrative purposes, the allele frequency distributions for the locus ANUCS201 are shown in Fig. 3 for all accessions with eight or more samples. A visual inspection reveals considerable variation within and among accessions in both the number of alleles detected and allele frequency. The fibre crop F42 is notable for its lack of allelic diversity, with only one common allele and two rare alleles. Similarly, the drug crop D36, is characterised by the presence of only three alleles. By contrast, for other accessions several to many alleles were detected, with the fibre crop F07 showing 13 alleles at this locus. Similar variation in the number of alleles and allele frequencies among the accessions was apparent at the other four loci (data not shown), with F42 and all drug accessions showing lower genetic diversity.

3.4. Patterns of genetic diversity among accessions

As expected, given the apparent variation in allele numbers and allele frequency distributions among accessions, an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) revealed significant genetic differentiation ($P < 0.001$), with an average of 25% of the total genetic variance ($F_{ST} = 0.248$) accounting for differences among accessions. The degree of genetic differentiation was significantly different among all pairwise
combinations of accessions with eight or more samples (\(P<0.007\) in all cases), and varied from the minimum of 5.8% between F41 and F91 (\(F_{st} = 0.060, P<0.007\)) to a maximum of 72% between F42 and D39 (\(P<0.001\)) (see Table 3).

Although significantly different (\(P<0.001\)), the genetic differentiation among the fibre and drug crops accounts for only 6% of the total variance, compared with an average of 21% difference among accessions (Fig. 4). Thus, average differences among the accessions are more than three times greater than among the fibre versus drugs groups.

The patterns of genetic relationship as revealed by a principal co-ordinate analysis (PCA), based on the matrix of pairwise Fst values, is shown in Fig. 5. The first two axes account for 48% of the total variation. All the fibre accessions group together, while the drug accessions are more widely dispersed in the PCA space but they are nonetheless more genetically similar to the central fibre crops than F42, demonstrating there is no clear split of drug and fibre crops in our data set.

4. Discussion

4.1. Overview

We have demonstrated that STR genetic markers can be highly polymorphic within \(C. sativa\), and capable of discriminating among individuals and varieties of cannabis. With the exception of one drug accession, unique multilocus genotypes were detected for all individuals and significant differentiation was detected among all accessions. The distribution of allele sizes suggested that all loci behaved as pure STRs consisting of uninterrupted repeats, with alleles in multiples of the tandem repeat unit, although DNA sequencing is required to confirm this assumption [19].
Although we detected almost as many alleles (79) as there were individuals (93), it is likely that additional alleles will be uncovered with a more extensive genetic survey. Based on the allelic series for each locus we predicted the number of potential alleles within the allelic range observed, and by subtraction inferred the number of ‘missing alleles’. In total, 15 missing alleles were predicted across the five loci, (Table 2). It is anticipated that these ‘missing’ alleles will be encountered in further analyses.

A more extensive genetic survey may also extend the allele size range at these five loci, although minimum allele sizes are limited by the number of repeats in the STR region. In general, STR polymorphism tends to increase with increased repeat number, with the lower limit for polymorphism of 3–5 repeats reported in plants [19]. At the trinucleotide repeat loci ANUCS303 and ANUCS301 the number of repeat units in the smallest alleles (five and seven, respectively) may already have reached the lower limit for polymorphism. At the other extreme, there is the potential for longer alleles than presently observed, however, at some unknown point long pure repeats become unstable [19]. While there is potential for more alleles to be uncovered at these five STR loci, the addition of further STR loci with similar levels of hypervariability will greatly extend the power of these genetic markers.

The large numbers of alleles observed at our five STR loci, and the high heterozygosities (>0.9 for three of the five loci) are a feature of many STR loci in humans [48], birds [49], small mammals [50], insects [51] and plants [20]. Such extreme polymorphism is rarely observed at other sites in the genomes of animals and plants. While hypervariability at STR loci is common, it is by no means a foregone conclusion in plants, where STR frequency in the genome is generally low, and informative STRs can be difficult to find [52,53].

4.2. Differentiation of fibre versus drug varieties

While significant differentiation was detected between fibre and drug crops, the degree of genetic differentiation between the two crops was three times less than the average between accessions (Fig. 4). This pattern of genetic variance partitioning is the converse of that expected if the drug and fibre groups represented discrete genetic entities. For example, in a comparable study of Australian populations of the mangrove, *Avicennia marina* with similar sample sizes and number of STR loci, Maguire et al. [54] detected almost two times more genetic differentiation among subspecies, than among populations within subspecies. Thus, given that in *C. sativa* the genetic differences between accessions is much greater than between fibre and drug groups, we conclude that drug and fibre strains of cannabis are not discrete genetic entities.

Our inability to fully discriminate amongst drug and fibre accessions matches failed attempts to make intraspecific delineations of *C. sativa* based on cannabinoid content [1,3]. Delimiting sub-specific groups using other morphological characteristics has also proved elusive [55]. Our genetic findings re-enforce the current opinion that *C. sativa* comprises a single highly variable species [1,3].

4.3. Implications for forensic application

We previously noted that the needs of the forensic community include the ability to identify cannabis samples as drug or fibre, and the need to identify the provenance of a seized drug crop. Do our results suggest either is achievable? At face value our inability to readily discriminate between drug and fibre crops is disappointing, although perhaps not unexpected, given the collective evidence indicates that the fibre versus drug split is an artificial rather than a natural genetic grouping. Do STR markers still hold promise as powerful forensic tools in cases involving cannabis? The answer is most definitely yes. Our findings clearly demonstrate the potential of as few as five STR loci to discriminate among individuals and accessions of cannabis. This potential will be greatly improved by the addition of further STR loci, a task already underway in our laboratory [42].

With an expanded set of STR loci, and a large database of genotyped accessions, it will become increasingly feasible to compare an unknown to genotypes in the database, in much the same way as human DNA profiles are compared to nationwide databases, e.g. in Australia, the US and the Great Britain. Such a search may indicate the likely origin of a sample, for example, providing information regarding the local region of crop production, or whether a seizure represents a locally produced or imported crop. While it now appears unlikely that a single diagnostic genetic marker will ever be available for discrimination of drug versus fibre samples, our findings nonetheless suggest a promising DNA test for whether a sample is likely of drug or fibre origin. If lower genetic diversity characterises drug compared with fibre crops, as predicted from results in this study, this will enable a rapid test based on the assessment of the degree of genetic diversity at a selected subset of informative STR loci. Such a test will be most powerful when multiple individuals from a crop can be screened, but with sufficient STR loci it may also be feasible to assess levels of genetic diversity across loci in a single individual.

There is good reason to believe lower genetic diversity within drug crops has a biological basis, since it a widespread practice in drug crop cultivation to eliminate male plants from a crop, thus preventing or reducing the seed production in the inflorescences, which increases the value of the final product. This practice is predicted to both reduce genetic diversity within drug lines, and to accentuate the genetic differences among lines. These accentuated genetic differences are likely to enhance the power of STR markers for identifying the provenance of a drug seizure.

Another forensic application that holds considerable promise with STR markers is the identification of hydroponically propagated cloning drug lines. In Australia, there is anecdotal evidence that in some jurisdictions that have
decriminalised the propagation of a small number of cannabis plants for personal use, criminally motivated consortia are exploiting the laws to produce a series of small hydroponic crops spread over several discrete residential locations to avoid charges of commercial production. STR markers may permit the identity of clonally propagated lines, providing evidence to link illegal operators in a conspiracy.

4.4. Future directions

We have demonstrated that the first five STR genetic markers developed for *C. sativa* are both hypervariable and informative, allowing discrimination among individuals and accessions. We have also strengthened support for the emerging view that the distinction between drug and fibre varieties of cannabis is artificial. As a consequence, it is unlikely that a single magic genetic marker will be able to unequivocally discriminate among drug and fibre samples. However, if drug crops are typically genetically depauperate compared with fibre crops, small number of STR marker assays may still prove to be highly discriminatory of these two types of crops. Despite the promise, our study also suggests that as in human forensics applications, it will be necessary to establish a robust STR genotype database of both drug and relevant fibre samples to enable the full forensic potential of these genetic markers to be realised. Work continues in our laboratory towards creating such a database. It is likely that different databases will need to be established in different countries, based on samples most relevant to the country. However, a network of databases would open up the possibility to study and compare the genetic diversity of cannabis samples originating from across its wide geographic range.
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